

Appl. No. 10/783,532
Reply to Office Action of OCT 18, 2005

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS:

This Amendment is in response to the Office Action mailed 10/18/2005. By said Action, Claims 1-5 and 7-15 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rosati in view of Fukumoto; Claim 16 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ish III in view of Rosati and further in view of Fukumoto; Claims 17-22 and 24 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brewer in view of Jackson; and Claim 23 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brewer in view of Jackson and further in view of Lab, III.

By this Amendment, Claims 2, 5, 10, 13, 15 and 17 have been amended. Claims 1, 7-9, 14, 16, and 21-24 have been canceled. Claims 25-26 have been added. Claims 3, 4, 11-12, and 18-20 remain as before.

Consideration and allowance of newly added independent Claim 25 is respectfully requested. Claim 25 has been added to define the inventive features of the present invention with greater clarity and particularity and to distinguish over the prior art. This claim defines an exercise system that includes an exercise chair and plurality of activity bays secured to specifically defined positions on the chair frame. At least one exercise resistance cable resistance cable apparatus engages a selected activity bay. This claim is neither disclosed nor suggested by the prior art. In view of the foregoing Claim 25 is deemed to be in condition for allowance. Newly added Claim 26 depends from Claim 25 and includes all of the limitations of independent Claim 25. It is therefore also deemed to be in condition for allowance.

Reconsideration and allowance of dependent claims 2-5 is respectfully requested. These claims depend ultimately from Claim 25 and include all of the limitations of independent Claim 25. They are therefore also deemed to be in condition for allowance. Claims 6-9 have been canceled, without prejudice.

Reconsideration and allowance of amended independent Claim 10 is respectfully requested. Claim 10 has been amended to include limitations that the activity bays are positioned on an exercise chair, and that they are positioned at shoulder height level on a chair frame and ankle height level on the chair frame. This is neither disclosed nor suggested by the prior art.

Appl. No. 10/783,532
Reply to Office Action of OCT 18, 2005

Reconsideration and allowance of dependent claims 11-12 is respectfully requested. These claims depend from Claim 10 and include the limitations of independent Claim 10. They are therefore also deemed to be in condition for allowance.

Reconsideration and allowance of amended independent claim 13 is respectfully requested. Claim 13 has been amended in a similar manner as Claim 10. The above-discussion regarding Claim 10 applies equally with respect to this claim.

Reconsideration and allowance of dependent claims 14-15 is respectfully requested. These claims depend from Claim 13 and include the limitations of independent Claim 13. They are therefore also deemed to be in condition for allowance.

Claim 16 has been canceled, without prejudice.

Reconsideration and allowance of amended independent claim 17 is respectfully requested. Claim 17 has been amended to include the limitations that the plurality of activity bays are positioned at shoulder height level and ankle height level on the chair frame. Claim 17 is therefore deemed to be in allowable condition. Claims 18-20 depend from Claim 17 and include the limitations of independent Claim 17.

Claims 21-24 have been canceled, without prejudice.

In view of the foregoing Amendment and remarks, it is respectfully urged that all pending claims are in condition for allowance, and such action as well as passage of this case to issue is respectfully requested.

FROM : LAWRENCE N GINSBERG

FAX NO. : 9496406261

Jan. 13 2006 04:05PM P11

Appl. No. 10/783,532
Reply to Office Action of OCT 18, 2005

If the Examiner has any further questions, or believes that a telephone interview would be helpful to the advancement of the prosecution of the subject application, a telephone call to the undersigned would be appreciated.

Respectfully submitted,



LAWRENCE N. GINSBERG,

Attorney for Applicant, Reg. No. 30,943

1/13/06
DATE

21 San Antonio
Newport Beach, CA 92660-9112

Tel. - 949-640-6261